26

27

28

1	NOT FOR CITATION
2	NOT FOR CITATION
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	
6	
7	RITA JETTE,
8	Plaintiff, No. C 05-2226 PJH
9	v. ORDER
10	GEORGE MCQUEEN, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	Before this court is plaintiff's ex parte motion to permit plaintiff to file a claim against
14	her attorney Michael Friel. Plaintiff has filed this request in accordance with Cal. Civ. Code §
15	1714.10 (cited as Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1714.10 in plaintiff's papers).
16	The request is DENIED. The requirement to obtain court approval before permitting a
17	lawsuit against a plaintiff's former attorney to proceed is considered a state law procedural
18	requirement. Villa Pacific Bldg. Co. v. Superior Court, 233 Cal. App.3d 8, 12 (1991). Matters
19	filed in federal court need only comply with federal procedure. Erie Railroad Co. v. Tompkins,
20	304 U.S. 64, 78 (1938). Plaintiff may proceed on the claims pled in the complaint filed on
21	June 1, 2005 without complying with Cal. Civ. Code § 1714.10.
22	This order fully adjudicates the matter listed at no. 6 on the clerk's docket for this case.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.
24	Dated: August 22, 2005
25	PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
20	United States District Judge